

## APPENDIX 2

### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

This part of this report is not for publication as it contains the following category of exempt information as specified in Paragraph 3, Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, namely: "Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)"<sup>3</sup>

### CONTRACT FOR SOUTH KILBURN SCHOOL PRE-CONSTRUCTION SERVICES AGREEMENT (PCSA)

#### EVALUATION AND AWARD CRITERIA

Award Criteria	Weighting (%)
Mandatory Questions	Pass/Fail
Quality/Technical	70%
Social Value	10%
Pricing Schedule	20%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>

#### SCORING FOR QUALITY/TECHNICAL QUESTIONS

Score	Acceptability	Description
0	The information required is either omitted or fundamentally fails to meet the relevant submission requirements to address the Council's requirements. Insufficient evidence to demonstrate that the relevant submission requirements or the Council's requirements can be met.	Unacceptable
1	Significant omissions, serious and / or many concerns.	Major reservations
2	The information submitted has some minor omissions in respect of the relevant submission requirements. The tender satisfies the basic requirements in some respects but is unsatisfactory in other respects and raises some concerns.	Some Reservations
3	The information submitted provides some good evidence to meet the relevant submission requirements or the Council's requirements, is satisfactory in most respects and there are no major concerns.	Satisfactory

4	The information submitted provides good evidence that all the relevant submission requirements or the Council's requirements can be met. Full and robust response, any concerns are addressed so that the proposal gives confidence.	Good
5	The information submitted provides good evidence that all the relevant submission requirements or the Council's requirements can be met and the proposal exceeds expectations. Exemplary in the industry, provides full confidence and no concerns.	Outstanding

## EVALUATION GRID

			Contractor A		Contractor B	
Quality		Weighting	A		B	
		5	Score	Result	Score	Result
1	Financial Control	10.0%	3	6.00%	4	8.00%
2	Design Quality	20.0%	2	8.00%	4	16.00%
3	PCSA	20.0%	4	16.00%	4	16.00%
4	Programme	10.0%	3	6.00%	4	8.00%
5	Sustainability	10.0%	3	6.00%	4	8.00%
<b>Total out of 35%</b>		<b>70%</b>	<b>42.00%</b>		<b>56.00%</b>	
			Contractor A		Contractor B	
Social Value		Weighting	A		B	
		5	Score	Result	Score	Result
5	Social Value	2%	3	1.20%	2	0.80%
6	Social Value	2%	3	1.20%	3	1.20%
7	Social Value	2%	3	1.20%	3	1.20%
8	Social Value	2%	4	1.60%	4	1.60%
9	Social Value	2%	3	1.20%	4	1.60%
<b>Total out of 50%</b>		<b>10%</b>	<b>6.40%</b>		<b>6.40%</b>	
			Contractor A		Contractor B	
Commercial		Weighting	A		B	
		5	Score	Result	Score	Result
8	Costs submission	20%				
<b>Total</b>		<b>20%</b>	<b>19.30%</b>		<b>20.00%</b>	
			Contractor A		Contractor B	
Summary		Weighting	A		B	
		5	Score	Result	Score	Result
Quality		70%	42.00%		56.00%	
Social Value		10%	6.40%		6.40%	
Commercial		20%	19.30%		20.00%	
<b>Total</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>67.70%</b>		<b>82.40%</b>	
			Contractor A		Contractor B	
<b>Ranking</b>			<b>2</b>		<b>1</b>	